



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,166	07/23/2008	Shawn DeFrees	705742	5632
23460 7590 11/03/2011 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731				
EXAMINER				
GOON, SCARLETT Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1623				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/03/2011		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/586,166	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DEFREES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SCARLETT GOON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SCARLETT GOON. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Ms. Christine Cochran, Applicants' atty. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Ms. Cochran, Applicants' representative, was contacted to determine whether a response had been filed with the Office in reply to the Office Action dated 20 January 2011. Ms. Cochran confirmed that no response had been filed in reply to the outstanding Office Action.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/SCARLETT GOON/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623